1 2 3 4 5 6	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTO A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations NEIL A. SMITH, Cal. Bar No. 63777 NATHANIEL BRUNO, Cal. Bar No. 228118 Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: 415-434-9100 Facsimile: 415-434-3947 Email: nsmith@sheppardmullin.com nbruno@sheppardmullin.com	ON LLP
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations MICHAEL A. MOLANO, Cal. Bar No. 171057 990 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 Telephone: 650-815-2600 Facsimile: 650-815-2601 Email: mmolano@sheppardmullin.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs AKEENA SOLAR, INC. and ANDALAY SOLAR, INC.	N LLP
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
18 19	AKEENA SOLAR, INC., a Delaware corporation; and ANDALAY SOLAR, INC., a California corporation,	Civil Action No. 3:09-CV-05040-JSW
20	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CHANGE TIME OF BRIEFING SCHEDULE RE
21	V.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY
22 23	ZEP SOLAR, INC., a California corporation; GLOBAL RESOURCE OPTIONS, INC. dba GROSOLAR, a Delaware corporation; and HIGH SUN TECHNOLOGY, INC., a	[Civil L.R. 6-2]
24	California corporation,	Honorable Jeffrey S. White
25	Defendants.	United States District Judge
26		
27		
<u>, </u>		

1	Plaintiffs Akeena Solar, Inc. and Andalay Solar, Inc. ("Plaintiffs") hereby stipulate	
2	pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2 with Defendants Zep Solar, Inc., Global Resource Options, Inc.	
3	dba groSolar, and High Sun Technology, Inc. ("Defendants") that that Plaintiffs' deadline to file an	
4	opposition to Defendants' motion to stay this action be continued five days from February 11,	
5	2010 to February 16, and that Defendants' related reply deadline be continued five days from	
6	February 18 to February 23, but that the hearing date on Defendants' motion to stay shall remain	
7	March 5, 2010 at 9:00 a.m.	
8	<u>STIPULATION</u>	
9	WHEREAS, on October 22, 2009, Plaintiffs filed this action against Defendants	
10	charging Defendants with infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,406,800 (the "'800 Patent") and	
11	seeking a declaration of non-infringement of Defendants' U.S. Patent No. 7,592,537 (the "'537	
12	Patent") (Docket No. 1.);	
13	WHEREAS, on January 27, 2010, Defendants filed a request for inter partes	
14	reexamination of the '800 Patent with the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") and	
15	on that same day, filed a motion to stay this action pending reexamination of the '800 Patent,	
16	setting the hearing date for March 5, 2010 (Declaration of Neil A. Smith, ¶ 2; Docket No. 24.);	
17	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants' motion to stay is currently due on	
18	February 11, 2010. Defendants' motion to dismiss was scheduled to be heard on February 12,	
19	2010, the day <i>after</i> Plaintiffs' opposition to the motion to stay would have been filed. On February	
20	9, 2010, this Court granted Defendants' separate motion to dismiss Plaintiff's claim for a	

e on uary declaratory judgment of non-infringement of the '537 Patent (Docket No. 29), ruling sua sponte and in advance of the February 12, 2010 hearing date on the motion to dismiss, thereby eliminating one of the two patents at issue in the action (Defendants' '537 Patent) and altering the overall landscape of the action and the arguments pertaining to a potential stay of the action;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have represented they were preparing their opposition to the motion to stay based on a belief that Defendants' '537 Patent would still be a part of the case at the

27

28

21

22

23

24

25

26

Casse 3::09-cv-05040-JSW Document 33 Filed 02/10/10 Page 33:05

1	time of their opposition, and that they now need a brief extension of five days to be able to account
2	for the new status of the case in their opposition to the motion to stay (Smith Declaration, ¶ 3);
3	WHEREAS, furthermore, Plaintiffs have represented that Plaintiff Akeena Solar,
4	Inc. is a public company whose client representative is very busy preparing for an earnings call
5	this week, and that the client representative's input is needed as Plaintiffs readjust and revamp
6	their opposition arguments, but that the client representative is unavailable this week due to the
7	earnings call (Smith Declaration, ¶ 4);
8	WHEREAS, furthermore, Plaintiffs have represented that their lead counsel is
9	scheduled to be giving a speech in southern California on February 11, 2010 (the current due date
10	of Plaintiffs' opposition to the motion to stay) and his availability and input is needed to craft a
11	new opposition (Smith Declaration, ¶ 5);
12	WHEREAS, Monday, February 15, 2010 is a federal holiday (Presidents Day);
13	WHEREAS, the only previous modifications of time in this action were when
14	Plaintiffs stipulated on November 11, 2009 to extend Defendants time to answer or otherwise
15	respond to the Complaint (Docket No. 8), and when a slightly new case management schedule was
16	ordered by the Court on December 3, 2009 after this action was reassigned from a magistrate
17	judge to this Court (Docket No. 12); and
18	WHEREAS, the time extensions requested herein should not have an appreciable
19	effect on the overall progress of this case, but will simply extend the briefing dates pertaining to
20	Defendants' motion to stay by five days and will not affect the hearing date on the motion to stay
21	(Smith Declaration, ¶ 6);
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Casse3::09-cv-05040-JSW Document 33 Filed 02/10/10 Page 44 of 54

1	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by all parties to this action,		
2	through their counsel of record, that Plaintiffs' deadline to file an opposition to Defendants' motion		
3	to stay be continued from February 11, 2010 to February 16, and that Defendants' related reply		
4	deadline be continued from February 18 to February 23, but that the hearing date on Defendants'		
5	motion to stay shall remain March 5, 2010 at 9:00 a.m.		
6			
7			
8	ORDER		
9			
10	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. However, the Court HEREBY CONTINUES the hearing to March 19, 2010 at 9:00 a.m.		
11			
12			
13	Dated: February 11, 2010		
14	WNIGED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19	Dated: February 10, 2010		
20	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP		
21			
22	By/s/ Neil A. Smith NEIL A. SMITH		
23	MICHAEL A. MOLANO		
24	NATHANIEL BRUNO		
25	Attorneys for Plaintiffs AKEENA SOLAR, INC. and		
26	ANDALAY SOLAR, INC.		
27			
28			
۷٥	-3-		